



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,199	11/21/2003		John E. Campbell	00-RB69(1)	1984
30652	7590	12/19/2005		EXAMINER	
CONLEY I	•		THOMPSON, KENNETH L		
5700 GRANITE PARKWAY, SUITE 330 PLANO, TX 75024				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3672	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/719,199	CAMPBELL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenneth Thompson	3672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Oct</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-20 and 22-30</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 21</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the following(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 14Nov05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/719,199

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14-20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dunlap et al., U.S. 5,678,635.

Regarding claims 1 and 16, Dunlap et al. discloses a mandrel housing or body (ST) including a plurality of angled channels (76,78) formed into a wall and a plurality of moveable slips (52,54) having a cavity (inner curvature of slips 52,54) engaging the mandrel or body and translating along the channels between a collapsed position (fig 1) and an expanded position (fig 2), wherein in the collapsed position the slips do not extend radially beyond the housing (col. 6, lines 2-10).

As to claims 2 and 17, Dunlap et al. discloses a plurality of extensions (79,77) corresponding to and engaging the channels.

As to claims 3 and 18, Dunlap et al. discloses a drive mechanism (56,58).

As to claims 4 and 19, Dunlap et al. discloses the extensions (79,77) and channels (76,78) support loading (when pressed against the inner bore) on the plurality of slips in the expanded position.

As to claims 5 and 20, Dunlap discloses a pair of slips (col. 8, lines 25-30).

As to claims 7 and 22, Dunlap et al. discloses the plurality of slips (54,52) includes angled surfaces (71) for collapsing the slips into said body.

As to claims 8 and 23, Dunlap et al. discloses an axial flow bore (col. 14, lines 47-50).

As to claims 10, 11, 25 and 26, Dunlap et al. discloses the slips (54,52) grippingly engage (see description, fig. 13) the wellbore.

As to claim 14, 15, 29 and 30, Dunlap et al. discloses locking and releasing means (col. 5, lines 35-65) preventing and allowing slip movement from expanded position to collapsed position.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunlap et al., U.S. 5,678,635 in view of Baker U.S. 5,318,818.

As to claims 9 and 24, Dunlap et al. discloses a hydraulically operated setting tool (ST). Dunlap et al does not disclose a piston translating the slips. Baker teaches use of a piston (P) used to set slips (26) in hydraulic setting tool to improve the integrity of the liner by eliminating passages and ports from the same. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time for the invention to arrange for the hydraulic setting tool disclosed by Dunlap

et al to have a piston as taught by Baker to affect actuation. The use of a piston actuation in a hydraulic setting tool is well known in the art.

Claims 12 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunlap et al., U.S. 5,678,635 in view of Roberts, U.S. 6,164,377.

As to claims 12 and 27, Dunlap et al. discloses the slips (52,54) having gripping engaging means (see description, fig. 13). Dunlap et al. does not disclose the slips having carbide inserts. Roberts teaches use of slips having carbide inserts (28) to dig into and the inner wall of the casing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to arrange for the gripping surfaces disclosed by Dunlap et al to have carbide inserts, as taught by Roberts to more firmly connect the slips to the casing.

Claims 13 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunlap et al., U.S. 5,678,635 in view of Herbert et al. U.S. 5,878,818.

As to claims 13 and 28, Dunlap et al. discloses the slips grippingly engage the wellbore (fig 13; col. 14, lines 45-47). Dunlap does not discloses a plurality of threads radially and axially aligned to resist axial and torsional forces for grippingly engaging the wellbore in the expanded position. Hebert teaches use of a plurality of threads (23,24) radially and axially aligned to resist axial and torsional forces for grippingly engaging the wellbore in the expanded position (col. 5, lines 13-21) to more firmly secure the tool in the wellbore to support subsequent operations. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to arrange for the slips disclosed by Dunlap to have the thread arrangement as taught by Herbert et al. to more firmly secure the tool thereby improving the effectiveness of the anchor.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose or suggest all the claimed subject matter including the first pair of slips offset ninety degrees from the second pair of slips.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Thompson whose telephone number is 571 272-7037. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,199 Page 6

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-2197 (toll-free).

12 December 2005

Kenneth Thompson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672